# The Direct Object



# Linguistics

**Keywords:** Simple sentence, contrast, the direct object, nouns, pronouns, phrases or clauses (nominal clause), structure.

## Shkëlqim Millaku

University of Prizren, Faculty of Philology, Prizren, Kosovo.

## **Abstract**

The direct object is the structure contained within the predicate. It is typical the noun phrase that follows the verb, although indirect object and subject complements can also occupy this position, as you will see it shortly in this paper. The direct and indirect object has some characteristics in common, and this is the fact that can justify their sharing the term object. Like the subject, the direct object is normally a noun phrase or a nominal clause. In Albanian and English language we have the direct object (indirect object) and it is possible to show by the nouns, pronouns, phrases or clauses (nominal clause) that receive the action of the transitive verb. The direct object is the structure contained within the predicate.

#### Introduction

In Albanian and English language we have the direct object (indirect object) and it is possible to show by the nouns, pronouns, phrases or clauses (nominal clause) that receive the action of the transitive verb. The direct object is the structure contained within the predicate. It is typical the noun phrase that follows the verb, although indirect object and subject complements can also occupy this position, as you will see shortly. The direct and indirect objects have some characteristics in common, and this is fact justifies their sharing the term object. Like the subject, the object is normally a noun phrase or a nominal clause. There are constraints on the types of nominal clause that can be indirect object: generally, only nominal relative clause. <sup>132</sup>

In both of languages the object normally follows the subject and the predicate or indirect object usually comes before the direct object e.g.

The kids devoured **the liver.**S
P
DO
John gave Hanrry **a book.**S
P
IO
DO

"Most people would probably regard a subject + verb + direct object sentence as the prototypical English sentence". 133

In both of languages the typically, "a direct object" follows the verb and it answers the *question* "What?" or "Whom?" after an action verb (in the following of examples, the direct object is **bold** and the verb is underlined).

Gëzimi për leximin shton guximin për lexim të ri.

The direct object can be the subject:

- a. Gëzimi për leximin shton guximin për lexim të ri.
- b. **Guximin** për lexim të ri <u>shton</u> gëzimin për lexim.
- c. John gave Hanrry a book.

S P IO DO
The book was given by John.
S P DO
You can ask yourself.
S DO
Teuta met Benin.
S P DO

S P DO

Beni was met by Teuta.
S P DO

<sup>133</sup> Lynn Berk. (1999). English syntax, New York, p. 27.

 $<sup>^{132}\</sup> Randolph\ Quirk\ (\ldots).\ (1985).\ \textbf{A\ comprehensive\ grammar\ of\ the\ English\ language,}\ New\ York,\ p.\ 726.$ 

In Albanian and English sentence we saw the same structure and same function of direct object. A single complement may generally be said to function as direct object if it follows immediately after a verb phrase which (a) can occur in a passive sentence and (b) after passivization preserves is meaning. As the last three examples show, the lexical verb may also be a prepositional verb, phrasal verb or e phrasal-preposition verb.

a. Shakespeare wrote Hamlet.

DO

b. Hamlet was written by the Shakespeare.

DO

a. The Senate has discussed this issue.

DO

b. This issue has been discussed by the Senate.

DC

a. Our neighbours are looking after the children.

DO

b. The children are being looked after by our neighbours. 134

DO

We sent Benit a copy of the letter.

S P IO

DO

After dinner, Teuta always <u>serves</u> a cake. In this sentence the determinate the direct object, ask who was acted on by the verb.

In Albanian language we have the same direct object with English language, in fact they have different structure in the sentence, but the direct object it's same with function. The frequent type of object generally takes the form of a deverbal noun preceded by the a common verb of general meaning, such as do, give, have, make, take. 135

They are having an argument.

P

DO

Djali i vogël mbaroj detyrat e shkollës.

DO

Artën s'e kam takuar që një kohë të gjatë.

DO

In English and Albanian a noun or pronoun answering a "who (m)" or "what" after an action verb. A direct object "receives" or is the "object" of the action e.g.

Teuta ate <u>meat.</u>
Arta <u>read a book.</u>
We play **tennis.** 

In this sentence above, 'Miranda wants some flowers, the word 'flowers' is not the subject of the sentence, but is the **object** of Miranda's wants. So, grammatically, it's known as the **direct object.** An object of a sentence has something done it, or is being considered in some way by the subject of the sentence. In the sentence "Arta read (s) a book", the word "a book" is not the subject of the sentence, bit it is the object of the Arta read (s). Arat is the subject, read(s) is predicate and a book is direct object. This is simple sentence and is same in both of the languages (Albanian and English).

In English language the direct object can realize by:

- a. Noun (John played tennis.)
- b. Noun phrase (Abreni luan me top.)
- c. Finite clause (two types: with that-clause and who-clause)
- d. A non finite clause (three types –ing, -ed and participle to)
- e. Anticipator it + finite/ non -finite clause. 136 (Just with it + it + finite/ non -finite clause)

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Flor Aarts. (1988). **English syntactic structure.** Cambridge, p. 138.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> Rodney Huddleston, (1976), **An Introduction to English transformation syntax**, New York, p. 750.

<sup>136</sup> Ibiden, p. 154.

There isn't any difference between the structure of noun phrase realizing the function subject and that of noun phrase with the function direct object. The direct object in the both of languages can make with noun phrase of course in the function of noun e.g.

Sivjet në këtë zonë kanë mbjellë **lule dielli** me shumicë. **Mizën e ullirit** e kishte luftuzar me sukses. <sup>137</sup>

The both function (in Albanian and English) can be realized by simple sentence as well as by complex sentence (noun or noun phrase), for example:

- a. John played tennis.
- b. My friend likes music. 138
- c. Arta lexon librin.
- d. Abreni luan me top.
- e. Who has Teuta been ringing up?
- f. The driver at the school couldn't see anything.
- g. He has now finished the second-story.

There are in English language two types of finite clause that can realize with the function of direct object.

a. The first type can realize with that-clause.

We hope that the expedition will be a success. We agree that we would meet at six o'clock.

b. The second type can realize with: who, what when, where, why,(etc) -clause e.g.

They do not know what our teacher can do.

Why don't you listen your teacher what he is saying?

Today we can discuss when the next meeting is...

In Albanian language the direct object can use with the pronoun that can has the function of noun e.g.

- Këtë dua t'ia them.
- Dëgjohej një zë ku ndiqej diçka nëpër shtëpi.

In English are three types of non-finite clause as direct object and it can change the noun e.g.

a. The direct object can functions as infinitive clause with –ing. I remember **Arta saying that.** 

The depend wh-interrogative clause occurs in the whole range of function available to the that-clause, and in addition can act as prepositional complement: 139

Subject: How the book will sell depends on its author.

Direct object: I can't image what made him do it.

The direct object can functions as infinitive clause with –ed past participle clause e.g. In the story alley we found **ourselves surrounded by the happening.** 

b. The third type can make with the infinitive clause may or may not contain the participle to e.g.

I did not know what to do.

They still could not decide where to go.

c. Anticipator it + finite/ non -finite clause

The fourth type is made with it + finite/ non - finite clause e.g.

I saw it that Beni was late.

Page | 115

 $<sup>^{137}</sup>$  Mehmet Çeliku (...). (1996). Gramatika e gjuhës shqipe II, Tiranë, p. 255.

<sup>138</sup> Noam Chomsky. (2002). **Syntactic Structure,** London, p. 86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> Randalph Quirk. (1973). **A university grammar of English.** London, p. 317

The object function requires the objective form for pronouns that have distinctive case forms:

```
I gave
          him
                    my telephone.
S P
          10
                        DO
They gave me some books
      P
S
            IO
                  DO
Ι
    gave
            them some books.
S
     P
                      DO
             IO
Ata <u>m'i</u> dhanë <u>mua</u>
                     disa libra.
S
     P
               IO
                         DO
Unë<u>i</u>dhashë <u>atij</u>
                     disa libra.
   P
             IO
                       DO
```

Shkolla ka **dhjetë studentë.** In Albanian language **the direct object can be the number** that has the function of noun. When the subject complement is NP, it is the predicate nominative. Since predicate nominatives are NP-s that follows verb in the predicate, they look at great deal like direct objects.

```
I am a student.
S P DO
Predicate nominal
I love a teacher.
S P DO
Predicate nominal
I like a book.
S P DO
Predicate nominal
```

In the sentence "I am a student", "I" and "a student" are noun phrase that refer to the same individual. If the speaker in the second sentence "I love a teacher" uses a transitive verb, I and a teacher refer to different people.

The direct object and indirect object are both structures within the predicate e.g.

```
S
         Predicate
\downarrow
Ι
                Benit my dictionary.
         gave
          P
                 IO
                            DO
         Predicate ↓
       handed
                          the gift
Beni
                Artas
                   IO
                            DO
She always reads the book before breakfast.
     Α
             P DO
                             A (adjuncts-rrethanor)
I read the kids of story.
```

**Predicator Complement** 

Strictly complement could be used with reference to all those constituents that obligatory complement the verb. The predicator complement can realize by the noun phrase e.g.

The boy resembles **his father**. After a few minutes it began **to rain**. Father suggests Tomin **to see a doctor**. Could you help me **to find this book?** 

If the predicate complement is realized by a non-finite clause containing a to-infinitive, the infinitive may be preceded by a noun phrase functioning as direct object of the finite verb, as in:<sup>140</sup> Mother persuaded Mary to see a doctor. Could you help me to move this cupboard?

The noun in the sentence can be direct and indirect object. It has the same function for both of languages. The direct object and indirect can make with noun, noun phrase, noun with preposition etc. The direct or indirect object is the second object in the sentence. In Albanian and English language we have seen the function of the direct object (indirect object) and it is possible to show by the nouns, pronouns, phrases or clauses (nominal clause) that receive the action of the transitive verb. The direct object is the structure contained within the predicate.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> Flor Aarts. (1988). English syntactic structure. Cambridge, p. 143.

### References

- 1. Abney, S.P. The English noun phrase in its sentential aspect. Cambridge. 1987.
- 2. Aboh Enoeh Olade. The morphosyntax of complement-head sequences. New York. 2004.
- 3. Adam Wojcicki. Constraints on suffixation, Tubingen, Germany. 1995.
- 4. Adams, V. An introduction to modern English word-formation. London. 1973.
- 5. Ahmet Kelmendi & Mazllum Hasimja. Gramatika e gjuhës së sotme shqipe, Sintaksa, Prishtinë. 2002.
- 6. Andrew Carnie. Syntax. Oxford. 2002
- 7. Andrew Radford. English Syntax. Cambridge. (2004).
- 8. Andrew Radford. Syntactic theory and the structure of English, Cambridge. 1997.
- 9. Andrew Radford. English syntax. Cambridge. 2004b.
- 10. Andrew Spencer & Arnold Zwicky. The Handbook of Morphology, Malden (USA). 1998.
- 11. Angela Downing & Philip Locke. A university English grammar, London. 2002.
- 12. Annete Becker & Markus Bieswanger. Introduction to English linguistics. Germany. 2006.
- 13. Aronff Mark. What is Morphology, Malden. 2005.
- 14. Aronoff M. Morphology now, State University of New York, 1992.
- 15. Aronoff, M. Word Formation in Generative Grammar. Cambridge. 1976.
- 16. Artas Bas. English syntax and argumentation. Cambridge. 2008.
- 17. Baker C.L. English Syntax. London. 1995.
- 18. Connor Ferris. The Meaning of Syntax, New York. 1993.
- 19. Dalina Kallulli. The Comparative Syntax of Albanian. Durham. 1999.
- 20. David Adger. Core Syntax. Oxford. 2003.
- 21. E. Kruisinga. English Accidence and Syntax, Wien. 1925.
- 22. Elena Herburger. English noun phrase structure. Wien. 1990.
- 23. Elisabeth Selkirk. The syntax of Words, London. 1982.
- 24. Emmon Bach. An Introduction to Transformation grammar. New York. 1966.
- 25. Emmon Bach. Noun and Noun-Phrase. New York. 1968.
- 26. Essential. Shakespeare Handbook. New York, 2004.
- 27. George Yule, The Study of language, New York, 2006.
- 28. Georgio Graffi. Sintaksa, struktura e ligjërimit, përkth. Blerta Topalli. Tiranë. 2003.
- 29. Gerhard Nickel. Papers in Contrastive Linguistics. Cambridge. 1971.
- 30. Gerrit Rigter. First Explorations in English Syntax, London. 1999.
- 31. Giordano, Emanuele. Fjalori i Arbëreshëve të Italisë, Bari, 1963.
- 32. Giorgio Graffi. Sintaksa (përkthyese Blerta Topalli), Tiranë. 2003.
- 33. Goçi, Ibrahim, Antonimet e Gjuhës se sotme shqipe, Prishtinë, 1986.
- 34. Graeme Kennedy. Structure and meaning in English, Great Britain. 2003.
- 35. Gramatika e Gjuhës shqipe I, Tiranë, 2002.
- 36. Gramatika e gjuhës shqipe II, Tiranë, 2002.
- 37. Ian Roberts. Comparative Syntax, London. 1997.
- 38. Ingo Plag. Word-formation in English, Cambridge. 2003.
- 39. Jackenddoff, R. The structure of English noun. Cambridge. 1972.
- 40. Jim Miller. An Introduction English syntax. Edimburg. 2002.
- 41. Joan Bresnan. Theory of Complementation in English syntax, London. 1979.
- 42. John Andeson, The grammar of case, London. 1968.
- 43. John Andeson, Noun phrase as adjective, London. 1975.
- 44. John Hewson, Article and noun in English. Paris. 1972.
- 45. John Jensen. Morphology. Word Structure in Generative Grammar. Amsterdam. 1990.
- 46. John Lyons. Introduction to theoretical linguistical. Cambridge. 1968.
- 47. John Michael Lawler. Studies in English Generics. London. 1973.
- 48. John Ole Askedal. Noam Chomsky and language descriptions. Philadelphia. 2010.
- 49. John, E., Oxford Practice Grammar, 1997.
- 50. Jokl, Norbert, "Naim be Frashëri e pasunimi i gjuhës shqipe", Gjurmime albanologjike, Seria e shkencave filologjike II, Prishtinë, 1974. 1972.
- 51. Josif Mita. Hyrje në sintaksën gjenerative, Prishtinë. 2005.
- 52. Josif Mita. Rendi i fjalëve dhe struktura e fjalive kohore, Prishtinë. 2008.
- 53. Juhn Anderson, On case grammar, London. 1977.
- 54. Karl Rechl. Ctegorial grammar and word-formation, Tubingen, Germany. 1982.
- 55. Kayen, R. Nouns and Predicate, Glow, Newsletter. 1982.
- 56. Kazumi Manabe. Syntax and Style in Early English, Tokyo. 1979.
- 57. Langendoen Terence. The study of syntax. New York. 1969.
- 58. Lasnik, H., The minimalit Program in Syntax, Cambridge, 2002.
- 59. Laurie Bauer, English word-formation, New York. 1983.
- 60. Laurie Bauer. English Word Formation. Cambridge. 1983.61. Lawri Bauer. English Word-formation. Cambridge. 1996.
- 62. Lees, R. B. The Grammar of English Nominalization. The Hague, Mouton. 1960.
- 63. Leonard Bbby. The syntax of argument structure. Cambridge. 2009.
- 64. Leonard Newmark, Philip Hubbard, Peter Prifti. Standard Albanian, A reference grammar for students. Stanford, California, USA. 1982.
- 65. Leslie Dunton, Alan Riding. Essential Shakespeare handbook. London. 2004.
- 66. Lilane Haegeman. The New Comparative syntax. London. 1997.
- 67. Lloshi, Xhevat, Stilistika dhe Pragmatika, Tiranë, 1999.
- 68. Loyd Gary. Albanian phonology. Wiesbaden, Gjermani. 1974.
- 69. Lynn Berk. English syntax. New York. 1999.

- 70. Lyons, J., Generative Syntax, Harmondsworth, 1970.
- 71. Lyons, J., Language Linguistics, Cambridge University Pres, 1984.
- 72. Martin Camaj. Albanian grammar. Wiesbaden, Gjermani. 1984.
- 73. Matthews, P.H., Syntax, Cambridge, 1981.
- 74. Max Morenberg. Doing Grammar. New York. 2002.
- 75. Mehmet Çeliku (...).Gramatika e gjuhës shqipe II, Tiranë. 1996.
- 76. Memushaj, R., Gjuhësi gjenerative, Tiranë, 2008.
- 77. Merxhani, Branko, Formula të Neoshqiptarismës, Prishtinë, 1998, e përgatitur nga Aurel Plasari.
- 78. Michael Meyer. English and American literature. Stuttgart. 2008.
- 79. Michaela Mahlberg. English General Nouns, Liverpool. 2005.
- 80. Mita, J., Hyrje në sintaksën gjenerative, Prishtinë, Shpresa, 2005.
- 81. Musa Nushi. Gjuha e sotme shqipe, Prishtinë. 2003.
- 82. Noam Chomsky. Studies on semantics in generative grammar. Paris. 1972.
- 83. Noam Chomsky. Rules and representation, Oxford, London. 1980.
- 84. Noam Chomsky. The generative enterprise. Dordrecht, Holland. 1982.
- 85. Noam Chomsky. Aspects of the theory of syntax. Cambridge. 1965.
- 86. Noam Chomsky. Knowledge of language. New York. 1986.
- 87. Noam Chomsky. Syntactic Structures, New York. 2002.
- 88. Noam Chomsky. Language and problems of knowledge, (përkth. në shqip Blerta Topalli,) Tiranë. 2007.
- 89. Noam Chomsky. Development of the Anglo-Saxon Language and Linguistic Universal, Philadelphia. 2010.
- 90. Peter Culicover. Syntax, New York. 1976.
- 91. Peter Culicover. Syntax, London. 1992.
- 92. Peter Culicover. Simple syntax. Oxford. 2005.
- 93. Peter Culicover. Simpler syntax. Oxford. 2007.
- 94. Petr Sgall (...). A functional approach to syntax, in generative description of language, New York. 1968.
- 95. Qesku, Pavli, Fjalor Anglisht-Shqip, English-Albanian Dictionery, Tiranë, 2002.
- 96. Randolph Quirk & Sidney Greenbaum, A comprehensive grammar of the English language, New York, 1985.
- 97. Raymond, M., English Grammar In Use, Cambridge, 1986.
- 98. Robert Van Valinm Randy LaPolla. Syntax, New Your. 1997.
- 99. Rodney Huddleston, An Introduction to English transformation syntax, New York. 1976.
- 100. Rodney Huddleston, Geoffrey Pullum, The Cambridge grammar of the English language, Cambridge, 2002.
- 101. Rugova, Ibrahim, Vepra e Bogdanit 1675-1685, Prishtinë, 1982.
- 102. Millaku, Shkëlqim. (2009). Kontributi i Zellik Harris për gjuhësinë, IASH, Tetovë, 2009 or Model për gjuhësinë gjenerative, Zëri, 24 tetor 2009.
- 103. Millaku, Shkëlqim. Studime gjuhësore I, Prishtinë, 2011.
- 104. Millaku, Shkëlqim. Strukturat sintaksore, Prishtinë, 2011.
- 105. Millaku, Shkëlqim. (Profesor Selman Riza dhe Albanologjia) Studimet e Selman Rizes në fushën e morfologjisë, Korçë, 2009, f. 143-150.
- 106. Millaku, Shkëlqim. Historiku i nyjës se prapme (kontrast me gjuhët ballkanike) Edukologjia, nr.2, f.81-96, Prishtinë.
- 107. Shukrane Gërmizaj. A comprehensive handbook of English language, Prishtinë. 2004.
- 108. Shukrane Gërmizaj. Anglishtja dhe shqipja, Prishtinë. 2009.
- 109. Steven Pinker, Language Learnability of language development, London, 1984.
- 110. Stuart Mann. A short Albanian grammar. London. 1932.
- 111. Suzanne Evertsen Lundquist, Native American Literatures, New York, 2004.
- 112. Sylvia Chalker, Edomnd Weiner. The oxford dictionary of English grammar. 1994.
- 113. T. Givon, English grammar I, Philadelphia, 1993.
- 114. T. Givon. Syntax I. Philadelphia. 1984.
- 115. T. Givon. Syntax II. Philadelphia. 1984
- 116. T. Givon. English grammar. Philadelphia. 1996.
- 117. T.C.Jupp & John Milne. English sentence structure, London. 1968.
- 118. Tafil Kelmendi. Kështjella e sintaksës, Prishtinë. 2006.
- 119. Tafil Kelmendi. Studime sintaksore, Prishtinë. 2008.
- 120. Veb Verlag. English Grammar, Berlin. 1986.
- 121. Vesel Nuhiu. English Syntax, Prishtina. 2002.
- 122. Zellig Harris. Structural linguistic. London. 1951.